



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/752,580 | 12/27/2000 | Edward G. Yong | 1944 | 1637 |
| 30408 | 7590 07/08/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| GATEWAY, INC. | | | KANG, PAUL H | |
| ATTN: SCOTT CHARLES RICHARDSON 610 GATEWAY DR., Y-04 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | TY, SD 57049 | | 2141 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004 | 4 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 1 |
|--|--|--|--------------|
| | 09/752,580 | YONG . | M |
| Office Action Summary | 'Examiner | Art Unit | U |
| | Paul H Kang ,! | 2141 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| | Y IO OFT TO EVEIDE A MONTH | · 0) | ì |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | . • |
| Status | | * * | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u> | lovember 2002. | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | s action is non-final. | • | |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa | • | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application | e ' | , . | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | | | . • |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | / consideration. | 6 | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. | I = I + I | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ' (| |
| : 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | J or election requirement. | | |
| Annual Action of the Control of the | | | , |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | |
| | epted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | i · | |
| | | (-1) (0) | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(a) or (t). | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received is | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | • | on No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | |
| application from the International Burea | • • | t in the realistic orage | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | • | d. 🐪 | |
| | | 4 | • |
| at the state of th | , | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | te atent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. | 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/752,580 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielsen, US Pat. No. 6,108,688, in view of Knowles et al., US Pat. No. 5,905,863.

2. As to claims 1, 10, 18 and 21, Nielsen teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Nielsen teaches a method for responding to an electronic mail message selected by a user, where the user accesses a mailbox adapted to store one or more electronic mail messages, each electronic mail message having a unique identifier and an identifier storage field (Nielsen, col. 1, line 11 – col. 2, line 30), the method comprising the steps of:

retrieving the unique identifier of the selected electronic mail message (Nielsen, col. 1, line 11 - col. 2, line 30).

However, Nielsen does not explicitly teach searching the mailbox for at least one related electronic mail message having the unique identifier of the selected electronic mail message in the identifier storage field of said related electronic mail message; and providing information relating to results of said searching the mailbox step.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,580

Art Unit: 2141

In the same field of endeavor, Knowles teaches searching the mailbox for at least one related electronic mail message having the unique identifier of the selected electronic mail message in the identifier storage field of said related electronic mail message; and providing information relating to results of said searching the mailbox step (Knowles, col. 1 line 36 – col. 3, line 63 and col. 4, lines 7-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the search features as taught by Knowles, into the email system of Nielsen, for the purpose of creating an effective message threading system.

- 3. As to claims 2 and 3, Nielsen-Knowles teach the method further comprising the step of displaying to the user said information relating to said results of said searching the mailbox step, executed in response to a request from the user to respond to the selected electronic mail message (Nielsen, col. 1, line 11 col. 2, line 30; Knowles, col. 1 line 36 col. 3, line 63 and col. 4, lines 7-50).
- 4. As to claims 4, 5 and 12, Nielsen-Knowles teach the results of the search comprises a count of said related emails and the unique identifier (Nielsen, col. 1, line 11 col. 2, line 30; Knowles, col. 1 line 36 col. 3, line 63 and col. 4, lines 7-50).
- 5. As to claims 6-9, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20 and 22, Nielsen-Knowles teach the method wherein each electronic mail message further comprises a time field for storing a sent time, and wherein said searching the mailbox step further comprises the step of searching the time field of each said

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/752,580 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

related electronic mail message for a sent time later than the sent time of the selected electronic mail message, wherein the results are determined by the searching step and displayed to the user (Nielsen, col. 1, line 11 – col. 2, line 30 and col. 3, line 8 – col. 4, line 31).

- 6. As to claim 11, Nielsen-Knowles teach the method wherein said related emails are determined to be sent in response to the first email if the unique identifier of the first email is stored in the identifier storage field of said related email (Nielsen, col. 1, line 11 col. 2, line 30 and col. 3, line 8 col. 4, line 31; Knowles, col. 9, lines 63-67 and col. 10, lines 16-20).
- 7. As to claim 13, Nielsen-Knowles teach listing related emails wherein the listing includes at least one of a sender, recipient, subject, sent time and a message body (Nielsen, col. 1, line 11 col. 2, line 30 and col. 3, line 8 col. 4, line 31; Knowles, col. 9, lines 63-67 and col. 10, lines 16-20).
- 8. As to claim 14, Nielsen-Knowles teach generating a reply email (Nielsen, col. 1, line 11 col. 2, line 30 and col. 3, line 8 col. 4, line 31; Knowles, col. 9, lines 63-67 and col. 10, lines 16-20).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (703) 308-6123. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,580

Art Unit: 2141

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul H Kang Primary Examiner

Page 5